

REMARKS

A restriction requirement was posed as between Invention I (Claims 1-9) and Invention II (Claims 10-19) under 35 U.S.C. 121 as being independent or distinct inventions. The objection was that the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product; specifically the method does not require a controller in the AED to provide the help. In response, Invention I (Claims 1-9) are elected without traverse. In addition, Claim 10 has been amended to be dependent on Claim 1 and a new Claim 20 has been added to include subject matter canceled from original Claim 9. It is respectfully submitted that Claims 2-20 are now all dependent on Claim 1 and directed to the same invention.

In light of the foregoing amendment and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KIM HANSEN

By: /W. Brinton Yorks, Jr./  
W. Brinton Yorks, Jr.  
Reg. No. 28,923

Philips Electronics  
22100 Bothell Everett Highway  
P.O. Box 3003  
Bothell, WA 98041-3003  
(425) 487-7152  
November 6, 2007